



American Fisheries Society

Guide for Book Chapter Reviewers

Book Title _____ Chapter Number _____

Author _____ Requested return date: _____

Book Editor _____ Editor email and phone _____

Return address _____

The accompanying manuscript has been submitted for publication in a forthcoming AFS book. I ask your assistance in judging its technical merits. If you will be unable to complete a review within 3 weeks, please contact me to discuss other options. If you are unable to review the paper at all, please return the package immediately; your suggestions for other qualified reviewers would be appreciated.

Management-oriented research, the basis of many submitted papers, is sometimes descriptive rather than experimental, but designs and analyses must be appropriate if hypotheses are tested, and the data must be reliable enough to support useful conclusions. AFS encourages reports of management case studies and experiences, which should be judged for the lessons they can impart to other managers.

The following questions are offered as a guide for judging the merits of this paper.

1. Does the title clearly reflect the contents of the paper? Is the abstract concise and informative? Are the objectives clearly stated?
2. Are the results clearly presented? Is the methodology sound? Are the tables sufficiently complete and the figures adequate?
3. Are the statistical tests, if any, appropriate for the data? Has the decision probability been stated and properly applied?
4. Are the findings well integrated with existing knowledge? Are the conclusions adequately supported by the data? Are the management implications clearly stated?
5. Does the paper represent a substantial or minor contribution to fisheries science or management? Is the information new, or has it been previously published in some form (other than in the institutional or contractual report literature)? If the subject of this paper has already been addressed in the primary literature, would publication of this paper further contribute to knowledge on this subject?
6. If this is a review paper or case study, does it cover its subject adequately and objectively?

You may range as widely in your comments as you wish; however, please make your comments both constructive and civil, even for unfavorable assessments. Point out the paper's strong points as well as its weak ones. Although you need not edit (rewrite) text or be concerned about journal style and format, guidance on tightening or restructuring the presentation and eliminating redundancy, especially in Tables and Figures, would be helpful.

Please print out your comments and append them to this form. You may also annotate the manuscript directly. You may, if you wish, sign your review. The author will receive a copy of your formal review and any manuscript annotations; if you have additional remarks that the author should not see, put them in a covering letter.

Unpublished manuscripts are the intellectual properties of the authors or their employers. Their confidentiality must be respected during the review process. Except for discussions that you might need to complete your review, do not circulate or discuss this manuscript with your colleagues or students.

After review, return the manuscript and two copies of your review; keep a copy of the review for your file in case the originals are misplaced. Your assistance is greatly appreciated. Thank you!